



Notice of a meeting of Planning Committee

**Thursday, 21 February 2019
6.00 pm
Council Chamber - Municipal Offices**

Membership	
Councillors:	Garth Barnes (Chair), Paul Baker (Vice-Chair), Stephen Cooke, Diggory Seacome, Victoria Atherstone, Bernard Fisher, Dilys Barrell, Mike Collins, Wendy Flynn, Alex Hegenbarth, Karl Hobley, Paul McCloskey, Tony Oliver, Simon Wheeler and John Payne

The Council has a substitution process and any substitutions will be announced at the meeting

Agenda

e) **18/02630/FUL 14 Regency House**

(Pages 5 - 6)

Contact Officer: Judith Baker, Planning Committee Co-ordinator,
Email: builtenvironment@cheltenham.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

APPLICATION NO: 18/02630/FUL		OFFICER: Mr Daniel O Neill
DATE REGISTERED: 28th December 2018		DATE OF EXPIRY : 22nd February 2019
WARD: College		PARISH:
APPLICANT:	Mr Edward Vickers	
LOCATION:	14 Regency House, Humphris Place, Cheltenham	
PROPOSAL:	Erection of an external awning over sitting room window	

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATION

25 Sandford Court
Humphris Place
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL53 7FA

Comments: 15th February 2019

I understand that as the designated planning officer Mr Daniel O Neill's recommendation is to permit the planning application for a exterior fabric fascia mounted awning, the application will now go to the Planning Committee on 21st February 2019 for review.

I should like yourselves to pass on the following comments to the committee please as I am not able to attend in person.

The owners at Thirlestaine Cheltenham were sold by Berkeley Homes a prestigious high end luxury development, with conditions set by the council planning department specifically condition 35 in respect to this application. Part of this condition wording is to protect and maintain the character and appearance of the area in which this development is located.

This is also to protect the unique Grade Two listed status of the Hall and the surrounding properties.

Regency House and Sandford Court are in the curtilage of the Hall and should be afforded the same protection and courtesy as the Hall.

If this application were to be allowed then the Council Planning Committee would have negated their responsibilities to these owners, and voided their own clause of permission to build which was clearly considered to be an important safeguard for the development. This would undoubtedly lead to a devaluation of one of Cheltenham's truly unique developments as other similar applications would inevitably be presented.

The Thirlestaine Hall development would then lose it's Unique Historical Architectural Integrity and Status.

After all the Council's own mission statement is 'Working together to create a great future for Cheltenham'.

I respectfully request you refuse this application.

This page is intentionally left blank